

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/812,817	MORI, SHIGERU	

All Participants: **Status of Application:** Being allowed.

(1) Ishwar (I. B.) Patel. (3) _____.

(2) Ronald Coslick (Reg. 36,489). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 August 2003

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 5, 7-10

Prior art documents discussed:

Susko et al., US Patent No. 6,177,728..

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

KAMAL DUNED

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: With the amendment of Feb. 5, 2003, claims 8 and 10 were in allowable condition. Called the applicant to further amend the independent claims 1, 7 and 9 to include the limitation of claim 5 in consistent with the allowable claims 8 and 10, to overcome the applied prior art. A supplemental amendment attached. .